## **Analysis of Work-Stealing Scheduler**

**Yan Gu 6.886 Algorithm Engineering May 2, 2019**





Function SUM(A) If  $|A| = 1$  then return  $A(1)$ In Parallel  $a = SUM(first half of A)$  $b = SUM(second half of A)$ return a + b

**Cilk OpenMP X10 TBB Habanero Java fork-join**



¢**Work (**!**)**: the number of operations (ideally it should match the best sequential solution)

**o Span (D)**: the longest dependence in this computation (ideally to be polylogarithmic) Less overhead

Better scalability



parallel for (int  $i=0$ ;  $i<$ n;  $i++$ )  $a[i] = f(a[i]);$ 

#### How is your code actually executed on hardware?

Why analyzing work and span?

## parallel\_for (int i=0; i<n; i++)  $a[i] = f(a[i]);$



$$
\text{parallel\_for (int i=0; i \le n; i++)} \text{a[i]} = f(a[i]);
$$



### **Treat the computation as a DAG**

Function SUM(A)

- If  $|A| = 1$  then return  $A(1)$ In Parallel
- $a = SUM(first half of A)$  $b = SUM(second half of A)$ return a + b



**IDEA:** Do as much as possible on every step.



**IDEA:** Do as much as possible on every step.

Either execute  $p$  operations



**IDEA:** Do as much as possible on every step.  $P = 3$ 

Either execute  $p$  operations Or reduce the span by 1

$$
T \leq \frac{W}{P} + D
$$



## **Greedy scheduler**

Impractical:

- Assumes processors/threads run in lockstep
- Big overhead in context switching
- Different operations have very different costs



## **Work-stealing scheduler**

- ¢ Full details in 6.172: Performance Engineering of Software Systems (Cilk implementation)
- **o** If a processor spawns tasks at a FORK, it continues execution with one of the spawned subtasks, and push the other subtask to the front its queue
- ¢ If a processor completes a task, it tries to pull a task from the front of its own queue
- **o** If a processor finishes all tasks in its own queue, it randomly selects another processor, and steals a task from the end of the victim queue (retry if failed)



## **Work-stealing scheduler**





## **Work-stealing scheduler**





parallel\_for (int i=0; i<n; i++)  $a[i] = f(a[i]);$ 



**Overhead of work-stealing scheduler**

## Bound the number of steals (whp):  $O(pD)$





**Overhead of work-stealing scheduler**

## Bound the number of steals (whp):  $O(pD)$

Running time (whp):

\n
$$
T = \frac{W + O(pD)}{p} = \frac{W}{p} + O(D)
$$
\nCache reload: 

\n
$$
O(pD)
$$



#### **Assumptions**

Steals come asynchronously

Multiple steals can be made to the same thread, and one wins (adversarially)

A successful steal from thread A would not block two consecutive steals from another thread B



## **Proof outline**

Consider one specific path

Left child: executed directly after the previous node

Right child:

- Stolen by another thread
- **Executed when the current** thread finishes the left side

Join node: executed when all previous nodes are finished



### **Proof outline**

Consider one specific path

Consider the worst case:

- All nodes are right child
- All of them need to be stolen

We want to show that  $O(pD)$  steals are sufficient to steal *D* tasks *whp* 



Challenge: steals happen asynchronously

• They can block each other

Best case: steals are attempted one after another

Each steal has  $1/(p - 1)$  probability to steal one task

Chernoff bound: for *n* independent random variables in  $\{0, 1\}$ , let X be the sum, and  $\mu = E[X]$ , then for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $Pr(X \geq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}}$ 

Best case: steals are attempted one after another Each steal has  $1/(p - 1)$  probability to steal one task Let's say we have  $2(p - 1)(D + \ln(1/\epsilon))$  steal attempts The probability that we have at least  $D$  successful steals from  $2(p - 1)(D + \ln(1/\epsilon))$  attempts is  $1 - \epsilon$  $e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}} = e^{-\frac{((\mu - D)/\mu}{2}}$ 2  $\frac{(\mu)^2 \mu}{2} = e^{-\frac{(\mu - D)^2/\mu}{2}} < e^{(2D - \mu)/2} = e^{-\ln(1/\epsilon)} = \epsilon$  $Pr(X \geq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}}$ 

Worst case:  $p - 1$  steals are always attempted together Probability that none of the steals touch the current thread:

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} < \frac{1}{e}
$$

Worst case:  $p - 1$  steals are always attempted together One task is stolen by probability at least  $1 - 1/e$ Let's say we have  $2e/(e-1)(D + \log(1/\epsilon))$  rounds of steals Expected steals:  $\mu = 2(D + \log(1/\epsilon))$ 

If we have less than D steals, then  $\delta = (\mu - D)/\mu$ , and

$$
e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}} = e^{-\frac{((\mu - D)/\mu)^2 \mu}{2}} = e^{-\frac{(\mu - D)^2/\mu}{2}} < e^{(2D - \mu)/2} = \epsilon
$$

The probability that we have at least  $D$  successful steals from  $2(p - 1)e(D + ln(1/\epsilon))/(e - 1)$  attempts is  $1 - \epsilon$ 

To get D steals with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ , we need

- Best case:  $2(p-1)(D + \ln(1/\epsilon))$  steals
- Worst case:  $2(p-1)(D + \ln(1/\epsilon))e/(e-1)$  steals

We want to guarantee probability with  $1 - 1/n^c$ In a DAG with depth D, there are in total  $\leq 2^D$  paths Let  $\epsilon = 1/(2^D \cdot n^c)$ , then  $\ln (1/\epsilon) = c \ln n + D \ln 2$ 

## 3 45 **steals are sufficient for all possible paths** *whp*

**Overhead of work-stealing scheduler**

# The number of steals (whp):  $O(pD)$

Running time (whp):

\n
$$
T = \frac{W + O(pD)}{p} = \frac{W}{p} + O(D)
$$
\nCache reload: 

\n
$$
O(pD)
$$

