# PowerGraph: Distributed Graph-Parallel Computation on Natural Graphs

*Joseph Gonzalez, Yucheng Low, Haijie Gu, Danny Bickson, Carlos Guestrin*

*CMU, UW*

*\*some figures in the slide deck are borrowed from the official OSDI slides*

### What are Natural Graphs?



#### **Graphs that are derived from natural phenomena**

#### Such as relationships between:

- People
- Product
- Interests
- Ideas

### Power-Law Degree Distribution



Most of natural graphs have **skewed power-law degree distribution**

Most vertices have relatively few neighbors, while a few have many neighbors

### Problem: Hard to Partition



Edges spanning multiple processors

"Start-like" Motif

- Power-law graphs do not have low-cost balanced cuts
- Existing distributed graph computation systems perform poorly on power law graphs

# High-Level PowerGrpah Abstraction



- **Split High-Degree Vertices**
- **New abstraction for programming graph computations**

### How do we program a graph computation?

- A user-defined Vertex-Program runs on each vertex
- Graph constrains intersctions along edges
	- Using messages (Pregel[PODC09])
	- Using shared state (GraphLab[VLDB12])



### How do we program a graph computation?

- A user-defined Vertex-Program runs on each vertex
- Graph constrains intersctions along edges
	- Using messages (Pregel[PODC09])
	- Using shared state (GraphLab[VLDB12])
- Parallelism: run multiple vertex programs simultaneously



### Example Computation: Social Network Popularity



# PageRank Algorithm



- Update ranks in parallel
- Iterate until convergence

# The Pregel [PODC09] Abstraction

Vertex-Programs interact by sending messages.





# The GraphLab [VLDB12] Abstraction

Vertex-Programs directly read the neighbors state

```
GraphLab_PageRank(i)
```
// Compute sum over neighbors  $total = 0$ foreach( $j$  in in neighbors $(i)$ ): total = total +  $R[j]$  \*  $w_{ji}$ 

// Update the PageRank  $R[i] = 0.15 + total$ 

// Trigger neighbors to run again  $if R[i]$  not converged then foreach(  $j$  in out neighbors(i)): signal vertex-program on j



# Challenges of High-Degree Vertices



requires heavy locking (GraphLab)

prone to stragglers (Pregel)

#### **Communication Overhead for High-Degree Vertices is the Most Prominent**

### Pregel Reduces Fan-In Traffic



**Sending vertex info from neighbors**

### Pregel Reduces Fan-in Traffic



**User-defined commutative associative (+) message operation allows preprocessing on the local machine with combiners and reduces the amount of messages transmitted**

### Pregel Struggles with Fan-Out



# Fan-In and Fan-Out Performance

• PageRank on synthetic Power-law Graphs



### GraphLab Reduces Traffic by Creating Ghost Vertices



Create "Ghost Nodes" for the neighbors not on the same machine

### GraphLab Reduces Broadcast Traffic by Creating Ghost Vertices



Updates to vertices under evaluation will be sent to another machine via 1 message, and the other machine internally performs transfers

### GraphLab Suffers from Neighbors' Changes



# Fan-In and Fan-Out Performance

- PageRank on synthetic Power-law Graphs
- GraphLab is undirected



### Fan-In and Fan-Out Performance

- PageRank on synthetic Power-law Graphs
- GraphLab is undirected

**Pregel and GraphLab are not well suited for natural graphs**

- **Challenges to reduce both the fan-in and fan-out traffic for high-degree vertices**
- **Low quality graph partitioning cuts a significant number of edges in the graph (contributing to the significant traffic between different machines)**



# PowerGraph – GAS Decomposition

**Gather (Reduce)** Accumulate information about neighborhood

Apply

Apply the accumulated value to center vertex

#### **Scatter**

Update adjacent edges and vertices.

#### GraphLab PageRank(i)

```
// Compute sum over neighbors
total = 0foreach( j in in neighbors(i)):
  total = total + R[j] * w_{ii}
```
**Gather Information About Neighborhood** 

// Update the PageRank  $R[i] = 0.1 + total$ 

**Update Vertex** 

```
// Trigger neighbors to run again
if R[i] not converged then
  foreach( j in out neighbors(i))
    signal vertex-program on j
```
**Signal Neighbors & Modify Edge Data** 

# PowerGraph – GAS Decomposition

**Gather (Reduce)** Accumulate information about neighborhood **User Defined:** 



#### Apply

Apply the accumulated value to center vertex

#### **Scatter**

Update adjacent edges and vertices.

# PowerGraph - GAS Decomposition

**Gather (Reduce)** Accumulate information about neighborhood

#### **User Defined:**



# Apply Apply the accumulated value to center vertex **User Defined:**  $\triangleright$  Apply( $\circled{v}$ ),  $\Sigma$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\circled{v}$

#### **Scatter**

Update adjacent edges and vertices.

# PowerGraph – GAS Decomposition

**Gather (Reduce)** Accumulate information about neighborhood

#### **User Defined:**





### PageRank in PowerGraph

$$
R[i] = 0.15 + \sum_{j \in \text{Nbrs}(i)} w_{ji} R[j]
$$

PowerGraph\_PageRank(i)

**Gather**( $j \rightarrow i$ ): return  $w_{ji} * R[j]$  $sum(a, b)$ : return  $a + b$ ;

$$
Apply(i, \Sigma): R[i] = 0.15 + \Sigma
$$

**Scatter** $(i \rightarrow j)$ : if  $R[i]$  changed then trigger *j* to be **recomputed** 

Cutting graphs from vertices instead of cutting from edges



- Assign each portion of edges to a different machine
	- Select a master machine
	- Create shadow vertices on auxiliary machines



- Gather:
	- Each vertices shadow gathers on local machine (parallel)
	- Send the sum to the master machine



- Apply:
	- Apply the aggregated sum in a user defined way
	- Send the updated value to all machines



- Scatter:
	- Scatter locally (parallel)



- Scatter:
	- Scatter locally (parallel)
	- **Communication is linear in the number of machines each vertex spans**
	- **Percolation theory suggests that power law graphs have good vertex cuts**
	- **Machine 1 Machine 2 vertex-cut which requires less communication and**   $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$ **• Theorem: For any edge-cut we can directly construct a storage**



# How to perform vertex cuts?

- Random partitioning
	- Pick the lightest loaded machine when edges come in
	- No coordination overhead



Random vertex cut communication improvements

# How to perform vertex cuts?

- Random partitioning
	- Pick the lightest loaded machine when edges come in
	- No coordination overhead
- Greedy partitioning
	- Globally tracks which vertex is placed to which machine and try to place the edges for the same vertex on the same machine in a workload-balanced way
	- High coordination overhead
- Oblivious partitioning
	- Locally tracks the per-vertex info, and place the edges in a workload-balanced way
	- Medium coordinate overhead

### Comparing Vertex Cut Algorithms

**Twitter Graph:** 41M vertices, 1.4B edges



**Oblivious** balances cost and partitioning time.

# Delta-Caching Optimization

- Most of time, only a few of the neighboring vertices change their values
- Oppututnities to reduces the necessary gathering
- Keep a local copy of the gathered neighboring value from the last iteration
- Calculate delta during scatter to update the local cached value as well

# Results – Algorithm Implementations

- **Collaborative Filtering** 
	- Alternating Least Squares
	- Stochastic Gradient Descent
	- $-$  SVD
	- Non-negative MF
- Statistical Inference
	- Loopy Belief Propagation
	- Max-Product Linear Programs
	- Gibbs Sampling

• Graph Analytics

- PageRank
- Triangle Counting
- Shortest Path
- Graph Coloring
- K-core Decomposition
- Computer Vision
	- Image stitching
- **Language Modeling** 
	- $-LDA$

### Results – Compare to GraphLab & Pregel

• Running PageRank on Synthetic Power-Law Graphs



High-Degree Vertices High-Degree Vertices

## Results – Scaling

• Running PageRank on Twitter graph



### Results – Delta Cache Improvements

• Running PageRank on Twitter graph



# **Strengths**

+ Paper is well-motivated by the concern of efficiently processing power-law natural graphs

+ Paper clearly presents the challenges of the problems and the issues of the existing work

+ Paper shows a comprehensive study of the performance of the proposed abstraction

- application algorithms
- communication overhead
- scaling

# Weakness

- Paper does not show how well the abstraction performs if the application workload is not as power-law in nature. A good abstraction should still have reasonable performance even if the workload is not the target workload
- Paper did not show results with fewer than 8 machines and do not compare against sequential algorithm

# **Discussions**

- Is the GAS abstraction general enough to represent all commonly know algorithms?
- Can we apply the vertex cut ideas to other framework for performance improvements?
- How will PowerGraph perform if the application workloads are not natural graphs?